

220/20

-----Original Message-----

From: Fox, Peg [mailto:PFox@MCNAIR.NET]
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2009 4:09 PM
To: Butler, David; Anthony, Len
Cc: Heigel, Catherine E; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bowen, John; Reibold, Shealy
Subject: RE: Proposed Order in Docket No. 2009-168-E

All,

We did not receive an advance copy of the joint proposed order that was filed, but wanted to put our 2 cents in on behalf of Lockhart Power. We are fine with the proposed order with two comments:

First, I was present at the hearing and made an appearance representing Lockhart Power, which is not reflected in the proposed order.

Second, while it appears to be fairly clear that the referenced waivers would apply to all investor-owned utilities including Lockhart Power, someone might get confused by the multiple references to "Duke, PEC, and SCE&G." I realize that language is being quoted from a Stipulation to which Lockhart Power was not a party, but to eliminate any confusion, it might help to modify the first ordering clause as follows:

1. PEC's motion for partial waiver of Commission Rules 103-331 and 103-336 is granted with respect to all investor-owned electrical utilities, consistent with the Stipulation attached hereto . . .

Thanks.

Peg

Margaret M. Fox
Special Counsel
pfox@mcnair.net

McNair Law Firm, P.A.
Columbia Office 1301 Gervais Street | 11th Floor | Columbia, SC 29201
803 799 9800 Main | 803 933 1515 Fax
Mailing Post Office Box 11390 | Columbia, SC 29211

-----Original Message-----

From: Butler, David [mailto:David.Butler@psc.sc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2009 11:14 AM
To: Anthony, Len
Cc: Heigel, Catherine E; Fox, Peg; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bowen, John; Reibold, Shealy
Subject: Proposed Order in Docket No. 2009-168-E

Len:

Could you please furnish me with a "Word" version of the above-mentioned proposed Order, which will be strictly for Commission Staff use? I would appreciate it.

Thanks,

David Butler

Senior Counsel

Public Service Commission of South Carolina